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Abstract — This article is aimed at obtaining a description of the behaviour of an ideal differentially steered drive system (mobile robot) and planar motion. The mathematical dynamic model describing the motion (speed and direction) of one robot's point is created based on the first principles approach. The trajectory of this point is converted to trajectories of wheel contact points with the plane of motion. The dynamic behaviour of motors and chassis, form of coupling between motors and wheels and basic geometric dimensions are taken into account. The resulting trajectory depends on the supply voltage of both drive motors. The dynamic model will be used for design and verification of a robot’s motion control in MATLAB / SIMULINK simulation environment.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The paper deals with a dynamic model of an ideal mobile robot with differentially steered drive system and planar motion. A single-axle chassis or caterpillar chassis is mostly used in case of small mobile robots [1]. A caster wheel is added to a single-axle to ensure stability. This solution together with the independent wheel actuation allows excellent mobility on the contrary to a classic chassis – see a commercially available robot in Fig. 1. The derived mathematical model comes from lay-out, nominal geometric dimensions and other features of that robot with view of ideal behaviour of individual components and some simplifying assumptions. The aim is to create a model based on forces caused by motor torques of independent wheel drives. The model will consist of dynamic behaviour description of the chassis and DC series motors. The presented motion model based on centre of mass (primary element) dynamics is different from models reflecting kinematics only and commonly used in literature – published e.g. in [2] or [3]. Standard models describe robot’s trajectory time evaluation depending on known wheel speed (information from wheel speed sensors) and chassis geometry – odometry – published e.g. in [4]. Our model extends standard model with dynamic part describing wheel speed dependency on the motor supply voltage by respecting dynamics, construction, geometry and other parameters of the chassis and motors.

The motor supply voltage actuating the wheel causes driving torque and thereby wheel rotation. Inertial and resistance forces act against the driving torque. Both driving torques influence each other because of these forces. The planar curvilinear motion of the robot is result of various time variant wheel rotation speeds.

The planar curvilinear motion can be decomposed to a sum of linear (translational) and rotational motions. Force balance is the starting point for the derivation of motion equations. If \( F \) is actual force acting to a mass point with mass \( m \) and distance \( r \) from the axis of rotation then it holds for a general curvilinear motion that vector sum of all forces acting to a selected point is zero – see literature [5].

\[
\ddot{F} + m \frac{d\ddot{r}}{dt} + m \ddot{\omega} \times r + 2m\dot{\omega} \times \dot{r} + m\dot{\omega} \times (\dot{\omega} \times r) = 0
\]

Application of this general equation requires specification of individual forces according to actual conditions and/or eventually implementing other acting forces. We will consider forces originated by the motion of real body – induced with resistances (losses) in addition to curvilinear motion forces.

![Fig. 1. Differentially steered mobile robot.](image)

We will approximate these forces in the simplest manner to be proportional to a speed. Equations describing dependences of linear and angular velocities of the reference chassis point to actual wheel motor voltages will be result of the dynamic part.

Selection of the point where actual translation and rotation speeds will be evaluated influences significantly initial equations and hence complexity of the resulting...
model. If the reference chassis point is at the centre of gravity then initial equations of the dynamic part are the simplest but equations describing dependencies between wheel speeds and linear and angular velocities are more complicated. The centre of the axis joining the wheels is the most common reference chassis point in literature. Such a choice leads to the simplest recalculation of actual wheel speeds to motion equations of that point. Trade-off between these two approaches is chosen in our paper – point at the centre of gravity projection to the axis joining the wheels is selected. A trajectory (time course) computation of another chassis points (points where wheels meet the ground) supplements the dynamic part of the model.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The described mobile robot is driven by two DC motors with common voltage source and independent control of each motor. The motors are connected with the driving wheels through a gear-box with constant gear ratio. An ideal gear-box means that it reduces linearly angular velocity and boosts the torque (nonlinearities are not considered). Losses in the motor and also in the gear-box are proportional to the rotational speed. The chassis is equipped with the caster wheel with no influence on the chassis motion (its influence is included in resistance coefficients acting against motion).

The model of the robot consists of three relatively independent parts. Description of the ideal DC series motors is given in section A. Two equations describe dependency of the motor rotation speed and current on the power supply voltage and loading torque are related to chassis dynamics. Motion equations are presented in section B – dependency between linear and angular velocities of the reference chassis point on torques acting on driving wheels. Section C is dedicated to equations describing how the motor speed influences translation and rotation speeds of the selected point and to complete model formulation. In the last Section D, the model is transformed to a simpler form which is more suitable for next using and for trajectory of an arbitrary point calculation. Equations describing trajectory corresponding to the contact points of the driving and caster wheels with the ground are formulated.

A. DC Series Motor Dynamics

An equivalent circuit of an ideal DC series motor [6] is in Fig. 2. It consists of resistance R, inductance L and magnetic field of the motor M. The commutator is not considered. The rotor produces electric voltage with reverse polarity than the source voltage – electromotive force which is proportional to the rotor angular velocity \( \omega \). The torque of the rotor \( M_r \) is proportional to the current \( i \).

Fig. 2. Equivalent circuit of motor.

Ideal behaviour means that whole electric energy used for magnetic field creating is transformed without any losses to mechanical energy – torque of the motor. We do not consider losses in the magnetic field but only electric losses in winding and mechanical losses proportional to the rotor speed.

First equation describes motor behaviour through balancing of voltages (Kirchhoff’s laws)

\[
Ri + L \frac{di}{dt} = U_0 - K \omega
\]

where

- \( R \) [\( \Omega \)] is motor winding resistance,
- \( L \) [\( H \)] is motor inductance,
- \( K \) [\( kg.m^2.s^{-2}.A^{-1} \)] is back EMF constant, 
- \( U_0 \) [\( V \)] is source voltage,
- \( \omega \) [\( rad.s^{-1} \)] is rotor angular velocity and
- \( i \) [\( A \)] is current flowing through winding.

Second equation is balance of torques (electric energy) – moment of inertia \( M_r \) rotation resistance proportional to the rotation speed (mechanical losses) \( M_s \) load torque of the motor \( M_t \) and torque \( M_d \) caused by the magnetic field which is proportional to current

\[
J \frac{d\omega}{dt} + k_r \omega + M_s = K i
\]

where

- \( J \) [\( kg.m^2 \)] is moment of inertia,
- \( k_r \) [\( kg.m.s^{-1} \)] is coefficient of rotation resistance,
- \( M_s \) [\( kg.m.s^{-2} \)] is load torque.

B. Chassis Dynamics

Chassis dynamics is defined by the vector of linear velocity \( \mathbf{v}_B \) acting on the reference chassis point and with rotation of this vector with angular velocity \( \omega_B \) (constant for all chassis points). It is possible to calculate the trajectory of arbitrary chassis point from these variables. The point B for which the equations are derived is the centre of gravity normal projection to the axis joining the wheels – see Fig. 3. This leads, according to the authors, to the simplest set of equation for the whole model. We consider the general centre of gravity T position – usually it is placed to the centre of the join between wheels.

Fig. 3. Chassis diagram and forces.

We consider forces balances as starting equations. It is possible to replace two forces \( F_l \) and \( F_r \) acting to chassis in left (L) and right (P) wheel ground contact points with one force \( F_b \) and torsion torque \( M_b \) acting in point B. The
chassis is characterized with radius of the driving wheels \( r \), total mass \( m \), moment of inertia \( J_T \) with respect to the centre of gravity located parameters \( l_L, l_C, l_P \).

Let us specify equation (1) for our case. The position of the centre of gravity is constant with respect to the axis of rotation so we do not need to consider Coriolis force. Similarly we do not consider the centrifugal force – chassis is supposed to be solid body represented as a point mass (centre of gravity). Because the force vector causing the movement acts in the point B and goes through the centre of gravity it is enough if we consider the inertial force by linear motion. At a rotational motion it is necessary to consider torque caused by Euler’s force because the axis of rotation does not go through the centre of gravity.

By the balance of forces causing linear motion we will consider forces \( F_L, F_P \) caused by the drives, inertial force \( F_S \) and resistance force \( F_O \) which is proportional to speed \( v_B \). The balance of forces influencing linear motion is

\[
F_L + F_P + F_O + F_S = 0
\]

where

\[
M_{GL} + M_{GP} + M_O + M_T + M_E = 0
\]

where

\[
l_F \quad \text{[m]} \quad \text{distance of the right wheel from point B},
\]

\[
l_L \quad \text{[m]} \quad \text{distance of the left wheel from point B},
\]

\[
l_T \quad \text{[m]} \quad \text{distance of the centre of gravity from point B},
\]

\[
k_o \quad \text{[kg.m².s⁻¹]} \quad \text{is resistance coefficient against rotational motion},
\]

\[
J_T \quad \text{[kg.m²]} \quad \text{is moment of inertia with respect to rotation axis in the centre of gravity and}
\]

\[
J_C \quad \text{[kg.m²]} \quad \text{is moment of inertia with respect to the centre of gravity and}
\]

\[
l_T \quad \text{[m]} \quad \text{distance between the centre of gravity and point B}.
\]

C. Relationship between Rotation Speed of the Motor and the Centre of Gravity Chassis Movement (kinematics)

The equation describing the behaviour of the two motors (currents and angular velocity) and the behaviour of the chassis (the speed of the linear movement and speed of the rotation) are connected only through torques of motors. Equations of the law of conservation of energy which is conversion of electric energy to mechanical including one type of losses but represent only one relationship between the speed of the two motors (peripheral speed of the drive wheels) and rates of movement and rotation of the chassis. An additional relation is given by design of the drive and chassis. We expect that both drive wheels are firmly linked to rotors of relevant motors over an ideal gearbox with the gear ratio \( p_G \) – without nonlinearities and any flexible parts.

The gearbox decreases the output angular velocity \( \omega_B \) with relation to the input angular speed \( \omega_L \) according to the transmission ratio \( p_G \) and simultaneously in the same proportion increases output torque \( M_{GL} \) with relation to the input torque \( M_L \).

\[
\omega_B = \frac{\omega_L}{p_G} \quad \omega_{GL} = \frac{\omega_L}{p_G}
\]

Further we assume that both drive wheels have the same radius \( r \) and their peripheral speeds \( v_L, v_P \) depend on the angular velocity of the gearbox output \( \omega_{GL}, \omega_{GP} \) according to relations

\[
v_L = r \omega_{GL} = \frac{\omega_L}{p_G}
\]

\[
v_P = r \omega_{GP} = \frac{\omega_P}{p_G}
\]

To determine the value of the linear speed in the point B and the angular velocity of rotation let us start from Fig. 4. We expect that both drive wheels have the same axis of rotation and therefore their peripheral speeds are always parallel. The illustration shows the positioning where the peripheral speeds \( v_L \) and \( v_P \) actually operate (driving wheels L and P) and the point B. We want to specify such a linear \( v_B \) and angular \( \omega_B \) speeds that have the same effect as the action of the peripheral speed of the driving wheels.

By using the similarity of triangles depicted in Fig. 4 we can recalculate the peripheral speeds of the wheels \( v_L, v_P \) to the speed \( v_B \) in the point B according to relation (8a) and the angular velocity of rotation \( \omega_B \) according to the relation (8b).

\[
v_B = \frac{v_L l_P + v_P l_L}{l_L + l_P} = \frac{r}{p_G(l_L + l_P)}(l_P \omega_L + l_L \omega_P)
\]

\[
\omega_B = \frac{v_B}{l_L + l_P} = \frac{v_B}{l_L + l_P} = \frac{r}{p_G(l_L + l_P)}(- \omega_L + \omega_P)
\]
We can determine from the linear speed of $v_B$ and angular speed $\omega_B$ (motion equations) current rotation angle $\alpha$ of the chassis and the current position (the coordinates $x_B, y_B$) of the point B [7] according to relations

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \omega_B \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{dx_B}{dt} = v_B \cos(\alpha) \quad (9b)$$

$$\frac{dy_B}{dt} = v_B \sin(\alpha) \quad (9c)$$

To determine the current position of the contacts of all three chassis wheels (points L, P and K) with ground we need to know the location of these points in relation to the point B. This location is shown in Fig. 5. From geometric dimensions we determine equation describing the relative position of these points in relation to the point B depending on the angle of rotation.

Relative positions $\Delta x_L, \Delta y_L$ of the point L and $\Delta x_P, \Delta y_P$ of the point P depending on the angle of rotation $\alpha$ are given by

$$\Delta x_L = -l_L \sin(\alpha) \quad \Delta y_L = -l_L \cos(\alpha) \quad (10a)$$

$$\Delta x_P = +l_P \sin(\alpha) \quad \Delta y_P = +l_P \cos(\alpha) \quad (10b)$$

To determine the relative position $\Delta x_K, \Delta y_K$ of the point K we use an auxiliary right triangle specified by hypotenuse $c$ and catheti $a$ and $l_K$ (see Figure 5). Then the equations for relative coordinates of the point K calculating are

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(l_p - l_L) \quad \gamma = \arctan \left( \frac{l_p}{l_K} \right) \quad c = \sqrt{a^2 + l_K^2} \quad (10c)$$

$$\Delta x_K = -c \sin(\alpha - \gamma) \quad \Delta y_K = c \cos(\alpha - \gamma)$$

The dynamic part of the model consists of four differential equations describing the behaviour of both motors, two differential equations describing the dynamics of the chassis and two algebraic equations with dependency of the linear and angular chassis speeds on the peripheral speeds of the driving wheels. We can find in these equations eight state variables describing the current state of the left motor (current $i_L$, angular velocity of the rotor $\omega_L$, loading torque $M_L$) and the right motor (current $i_R$, angular velocity of the rotor $\omega_R$, loading torque $M_R$) and the movement of the chassis (linear speed $v_B$ and angular velocity of rotation $\omega_B$). All the state variables are dependent on the time courses of the power of the left $U_L$ and right $U_R$ motor.

Each motor has its own power supply voltage ($U_L, U_R$) taken from the common source of the voltage $U_o$. The supply voltage control of both motors using amplifier with the control signal $u_M$ is shown in Fig. 6. Because both motors are powered from the common source it will be taken into account also effect of the internal resistance $R_e$.

Both motors are considered with the same parameters. We can write with using the Eqs. (2) and (3) and Fig. 6 four differential equations describing the behaviour of both motors as

$$R_iL + R_e(i_L + i_p) + L \frac{di_L}{dt} = u_L U_0 - K \omega_L \quad (11a)$$

$$R_iR + R_e(i_L + i_p) + L \frac{di_R}{dt} = u_R U_0 - K \omega_R \quad (11b)$$

$$J \frac{d\omega_L}{dt} + k_s \omega_L + M_L = K_i L \quad (12a)$$

$$J \frac{d\omega_R}{dt} + k_s \omega_R + M_R = K_i R \quad (12b)$$

Differential equations (4) and (5) describing the behaviour of the chassis complete the dynamic model. We can rewrite these equations with respect to the equations (7) and introduction of the "reduced" radius of the wheel $r_G$ and total moment of inertia $J_B$ (13a) as

$$r_G = \frac{r}{P_G} \quad J_B = J_T + ml^2 \quad (13a)$$
\[ \frac{P_G}{r} M_L + \frac{P_G}{r} M_p - k_i v_B - m \frac{dv_B}{dt} = 0 \]  
\[ M_L + M_p - r_i k_i v_B - r_m \frac{dv_B}{dt} = 0 \]  
(13b)

\[-l_i \frac{P_G}{r} M_L + l_p \frac{P_G}{r} M_p - k_o \alpha_B - (T + ml_i^2) \frac{d\omega_B}{dt} = 0 \]
\[-l_i M_L + l_p M_p - k_o \omega_B - r_G J_B \frac{d\omega_B}{dt} = 0 \]  
(13c)

It is possible to rewrite the last two algebraic equations, (8a) a (8b) describing the dependence between rotation speed of both motors and chassis movement using the substitution (13a) as
\[ v_B = \frac{r_G}{l_i + l_p} (I_p \omega_L + I_o \omega_p) \]  
(14a)

\[ \omega_B = \frac{r_G}{l_i + l_p} (-I_o \omega_L + \omega_p) \]  
(14b)

These six differential equations (11a,b), (12a,b), (13b,c) and two algebraic equations (14a,b) containing eight state variables representing a mathematical description of the dynamic behaviour of an ideal differentially steered mobile robot with losses linearly dependent on the revolutions or speed. The control signals \( u_L \) and \( u_p \) that control the supply voltages of the motors are input variables and the speed of the movement \( v_B \) and speed of rotation \( \omega_B \) are output variables. From them with using equations (9a) – (9c) we can determine the current coordinates of the point B and angle of the chassis rotation.

In the following calculation of steady-state values for constant motor power voltages is given. The calculation of the steady-state is useful both for the checking of derived equations and secondly for the experimental determination of the values of the unknown parameters. Because equations, (11)–(14) are linear with respect to the state variables the calculation of the steady-state leads to a system of eight linear equations which we can write in the matrix form as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R + R_i & R_i & K & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
R_i & R_i + R_o & K & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
K & 0 & -k_i & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & K & 0 & -k_i & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 - r_i k_i & 0 & -r_o \omega_L = 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -l_i & l_p & 0 & -r_o \omega_B = 0 \\
0 & 0 & l_p & l_i & 0 & 0 & -l_p^2 - l_i^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -l_p^2 - l_i^2 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_L \\ u_p \\ I_p \\ I_o \\ \omega_L \\ \omega_B \\ I_p \\ I_o \end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U_L \\ U_p \\ I_p \\ I_o \\ \omega_L \\ \omega_B \\ I_p \\ I_o \end{bmatrix}
\]
(15)

\[ \frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ (d_p [K(i_L + i_p) - a_L \omega_L - a_p \omega_p] - b_o [K(-l_i i_L + l_p i_p) + c_L \omega_L - c_p \omega_p]) \right] \]  
(17a)

\[ \frac{di_p}{dt} = \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ (d_p [K(i_L + i_p) - a_L \omega_L - a_p \omega_p] + b_o [K(-l_i i_L + l_p i_p) + c_L \omega_L - c_p \omega_p]) \right] \]  
(17b)

\[ \frac{d\omega_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ (d_p [K(i_L + i_p) - a_L \omega_L - a_p \omega_p] + b_o [K(-l_i i_L + l_p i_p) + c_L \omega_L - c_p \omega_p]) \right] \]  
(17c)

D. Computational Form of the Model

A mathematical model will be used in particular for the design, simulation and validation of the control movement of the robot. The model can be divided into three series-involved parts as shown in Fig. 7. From the control point of view the action variables are signals \( u_L \) and \( u_p \) that control the supply voltage of the motors. The instantaneous speed \( v_B \) and speed of rotation \( \omega_B \) are output variables of the linear part of the model. These variables are the inputs to the consequential non-linear part of the model (9a,b,c), whose outputs are controlled variables - the coordinates of the selected point position \( x_B, y_B \) and rotation angle of the chassis \( \alpha \). The last part is the calculation of coordinates of the position of arbitrary points of the chassis.

We can modify the linear part of the model into a simpler form for control design purposes – to reduce number of differential equations from six to four. If we substitute equations (14a,b) into (13b,c) and eliminate torques \( M_i \) and \( M_p \) by substitution of (12a,b) to (13b,c) we are able to reduce four differential equations (12a,b) a (13b,c) into two (17c,d). We introduce substitution of the parameters according to following formulas
\[ a_L = k_x + \frac{k_o l_p^2}{I_L + l_p} \]  
(16a)

\[ a_p = k_r + \frac{k_o l_p^2}{I_L + l_p} \]  
(16b)

\[ b_L = J + \frac{ml_i^2}{I_L + l_p} \]  
(16c)

\[ b_p = J + \frac{ml_i^2}{I_L + l_p} \]  
(16d)

The reduced linear part of the model consists of the set of equations
\[ \frac{di_L}{dt} = u_L U_0 - K \omega_L - (R + R_i) i_L - R_i i_p \]  
(17a)

\[ \frac{di_p}{dt} = u_p U_0 - K \omega_L - (R + R_i) i_p - R_i i_L \]  
(17b)

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = 1 \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ (d_p [K(i_L + i_p) - a_L \omega_L - a_p \omega_p] - b_o [K(-l_i i_L + l_p i_p) + c_L \omega_L - c_p \omega_p]) \right] \right] \]  
(17c)

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = 1 \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ \frac{1}{b_i d_p + b_p d_L} \left[ (d_p [K(i_L + i_p) - a_L \omega_L - a_p \omega_p] + b_o [K(-l_i i_L + l_p i_p) + c_L \omega_L - c_p \omega_p]) \right] \right] \]  
(17d)

and the output variables are given by algebraic equations (14a,b).

It is possible to write the reduced linear part of the model as a standard state-space model in the matrix form as
\[ \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} u \]
\[ y = \begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix} x \]

with constant matrices \( A, B \) and \( C \)

Fig. 7. Model partitioning into linear and nonlinear part.
We consider identical motors with identical parameters. The geometrical and other parameters of the chassis are listed estimated for the robot in Fig. 1. The values of the parameters listed in the following tables are used in all calculations. These values are chosen so that they at least roughly correspond to the values estimated for the robot in Fig. 1. The values of the geometrical and other parameters of the chassis are listed in Table I.

**III. EXAMPLE OF THE BEHAVIOUR**

Basic verification of the above derived model was made by calculation for situations where we can guess the behaviour of the robot. First value of the state variables in steady states will be given for some combinations of parameters and motor supply voltages. Further time courses of the robot trajectory will be determined for some combinations of the time courses of supply voltages when the robot is starting from zero speed.

The values of the parameters listed in the following tables are used in all calculations. These values are chosen so that they at least roughly correspond to the values estimated for the robot in Fig. 1. The values of the geometrical and other parameters of the chassis are listed in Table I.

**TABLE I. CHASSIS PARAMETERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( l_l )</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance of the left wheel from point B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_r )</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance of the right wheel from point B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_t )</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance of the centre of gravity from join between wheels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_k )</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance of caster wheel from join between wheels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>semi-diameter of driving wheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>total mass of the robot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_r )</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>kg.s^{-1}</td>
<td>coefficient of the resistance against the robot linear motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_r )</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>kg.m^2</td>
<td>moment of inertia of the robot with respect to the centre of gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_w )</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>kg.m^2.s^{-1}</td>
<td>coefficient of the resistance against the robot rotating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Necessary parameters for the DC motors with common voltage source description are given in Table II. We consider identical motors with identical parameters.

**TABLE II. DC MOTORS PARAMETERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>motor winding resistivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>motor inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>kg.m^2.s^{-1}.A^{-1}</td>
<td>electromotoric constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_p )</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>source resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_0 )</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>source voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J )</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>kg.m^2</td>
<td>total moment of inertia of the rotor and gearbox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. Steady State for Different Positions of the Point B and Motors Voltages**

The steady states are calculated as a solution of the system of eight equations in matrix form (15). Traces of the wheels are shown during the first 20 seconds of motion from zero initial conditions – calculated from state-space model (18) and from the equations for the trajectories calculation (9, 10).

**TABLE III. STEADY STATE A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_r )</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>kg.m^2.s^{-1}</td>
<td>coefficient of the resistance against rotating of the rotor and gearbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_0 )</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>gearbox transmission ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trajectories are plotted for the situation that the origin of the coordinate system is in the centre between the wheels, which is on the x-axis and the default orientation of the robot is in the direction of the y axis. The starting and final positions of the robot are displayed using the triangle that connects all three wheels. The trajectory of the centre of gravity is displayed (red colour) in addition to the traces of the wheels.

The steady-state A (Table III.) corresponds to the geometric arrangement – the point B is midway between the wheels and both motors have the same supply voltage. The result is that the robot moves only linearly.

The following three experiments show the influence of the centre of gravity position.

The steady-state B (Table IV.) holds again for the symmetric geometric arrangement but only one motor is powered.

**TABLE IV. STEADY STATE B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_r )</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>kg.m^2.s^{-1}</td>
<td>coefficient of the resistance against rotating of the rotor and gearbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_0 )</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>gearbox transmission ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The steady state C (Table V.) shows the situation in the case that the point B is in the extreme position towards the left wheel and only the left motor is powered.

The steady-state D (Table VI.) corresponds to the same position of the point B towards the left wheel but only the right motor is powered.

In all three cases the robot rotates and at the same time the point B has some linear speed. Both wheels produce translational movement because of the interactions.

<p>| TABLE IV.  |
| STEADY STATE B |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>left wheel</th>
<th>right wheel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>-0.2772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>0.2842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>-0.001176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_B$</td>
<td>0.006757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_B$</td>
<td>0.7776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| TABLE V.  |
| STEADY STATE C |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>left wheel</th>
<th>right wheel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>1.6288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>6.4721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>0.00334400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_B$</td>
<td>0.0005686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_B$</td>
<td>0.7776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Dynamic Behaviour for Particular Cases

The dynamic behaviour is demonstrated on the time courses of currents and angular speeds of the motors starting from zero initial conditions. Graphs in Fig. 8 show courses of the supply voltages, currents and angular speeds for the case that the point B is in the middle between both motors with the same constant voltage 10 V. The situation corresponds to experiment with the parameters in Table III.

A situation where the point B is in the middle between both motors with the right motor voltage 10 V only corresponds to the experiment with the parameters in Table IV, and it is demonstrated in Fig. 9.
An illustrative example of behaviour in the situation when both voltages are periodic and with different amplitudes is in Figs. 10 and 11.

On the left motor a rectangular train of pulses with period 20 s, duty cycle 50 % and amplitude 3 V is applied. On the right motor a rectangular train of pulses of doubled period 40 s and amplitude 4 V is applied.

The corresponding vehicle motion – wheels trajectories – is in Fig. 11.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The behaviour of the dynamic model in the simulated situations agrees with the expected behaviour of the robot. The position of the centre of gravity does not affect the behaviour in steady state. The immediate linear speed in the point B depends on its position but the trajectories of the wheels are independent on the position of the point B.

The interaction of the two drives was confirmed. The wheel without supply voltage rotates, because of the forces of inertia and the forces of resistance. In the transient state, this can cause change in direction of the wheel rotation. This situation is seen in Fig. 9.

Motor dynamics is negligible compared with the expected dynamics of the chassis for the estimated motor parameters. Because the parameters of the model have physical meaning it will be possible to measure directly some parameters on a real device. The identification of additional parameters will be possible experimentally from the measured time courses of power voltages and corresponding courses of angular speed of the wheels.
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